

Att. to EGBA-22605

ADROIT/CARBOHYDRATE/CONTACT RI AT

11 March 55

Date, Time, and Place of Meeting: 22 28 Feb 55, 1900-2130, CARBOHYDRATE's Apt.

Present: a. CARBOHYDRATE b. ☐

1. After the usual amenities, CARBOHYDRATE delivered the following written material connected with CARCARANA 2's divorce action which had been sent him by Simoni:

a. Copy of letter from CARCARANA 2's lawyers to Simoni dated 15 Feb stating that since no affidavit had been received by the time the divorce came up in court again, the court had decided to interrogate CARCARANA's mother, CARCARANA 2's sister, and CARBOHYDRATE. The letter expressed the hope that the ~~receipt of a receipt by CARBO~~ sending of a receipt by CARBO would obviate the necessity of carrying out the interrogations. The letter also notes that no action has been made to have the case file sent to the DDR to allow CARCARANA to be interrogated, but subtly threatens again that this might happen if no affidavit from CARBOHYDRATE is forthcoming.

b. Copy of letter dated 19 Feb from Simoni to CARCARANA 2's lawyers noting the receipt of the letter's 15 Feb letter.

c. Copy of letter from Simoni to CARBO covering the letter in subpara a above and a copy of the ~~Beweisbeschluss~~ Beweisbeschluss of the court. This is a statement of the issues before the court which has been prepared by the court.

d. Copy of the Beweisbeschluss, which is translated as follows:

CARCARANA vs. CARCARANA 2

I. Regarding the allegations of the plaintiff:

1) that the defendant as an officer and advisory official of the VOPO in East Berlin stood in contact with an American intelligence organization in West Berlin, that he visited this organization repeatedly and ~~delivered~~ delivered information to it and for that reason was arrested in East Berlin in May 1953 and later sentenced;

2) that he continued this activity despite the fact that his mother and the plaintiff clearly warned him against it and demanded that he stop;

proof should be taken through interrogation of the following witnesses named by the plaintiff:

- a) CARCARANA's mother
- b) CARBOHYDRATE,
- c) CARCARANA 2's sister

II. The plaintiff is required to furnish CARBOHYDRATE's address for purposes of subpoena within two weeks.

III. The interrogation of ~~the witness~~ the witness in Berlin

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006

CARBOHYDRATE until CARCARANA 2's lawyer pays 10 DM for each the interrogation of each witness (see para IV of Beweisbeschluss). CARBOHYDRATE had thought from what CARBOHYDRATE told him at last meeting that the case file was on its way to the Berlin court and that official notice to appear would be served upon CARBO in due time. CARBO said that his previous info to [ ] had been based upon a telephone call to him from Simoni which was very brief and general and did give the impression that the case file was on its way. Simoni had noted that full details would be in the written material above.

3. IV. The sending of the Rechtshilfeersuchen and the subsequent official subpoena of the witness will take place only when the plaintiff has paid 10 DM costs for each witness within two weeks or has within two weeks delivered a declaration of refusal to pay the fees involved (Gebührenverzichtserklärungen).
- V. The date for a new hearing by the court will be set by the court after the Rechtshilfeersuchen procedure has been carried out.

Frankfurt/Main 11/2/1955  
Landgericht, 10 Zivilkammer  
ges. Dr. Sommer, Enders, Dr. Heftler

- e. Copy of letter from CARCARANA 2's lawyers to Simoni dated 22 Feb covering transmittal of the Beweisbeschluss. CARCARANA 2's lawyer again hints that if he gets an affidavit from CARBOHYDRATE he will discuss with the court whether it would be necessary to go ahead with the interrogations or not. CARBOHYDRATE stated in reply to queries that CARCARANA 2's lawyers cannot control whether or not the court goes ahead with the interrogations--this is a matter which the court decides.
- f. Copy of letter dated 24 Feb from Simoni to CARBOHYDRATE covering the above material.

2: [ ] noted that the case file will not actually be sent to the Berlin court and official notice of subpoena sent by the Berlin court to CARBOHYDRATE until CARCARANA 2's lawyer pays 10 DM for each the interrogation of each witness (see para IV of Beweisbeschluss). CARBOHYDRATE had thought from what CARBOHYDRATE told him at last meeting that the case file was on its way to the Berlin court and that official notice to appear would be served upon CARBO in due time. CARBO said that his previous info to [ ] had been based upon a telephone call to him from Simoni which was very brief and general and did give the impression that the case file was on its way. Simoni had noted that full details would be in the written material above.

3. [ ] questioned CARBO why the latter had told Ladell that CARBO could not testify that CARCARANA 2 had concurred in her husband's activities. CARBO reported that events had followed the following course: [ ] had noted to LADELL that CARCARANA 2 had acted as a courier, was therefore an accessory. Ladell had stopped by CARBO's office, asked whether CARBO could testify that CARCARANA 2 had acted as a courier. The courier matter having slipped CARBO's mind, he replied that he could not so testify. Ladell then reported this to [ ]. In the meantime CARBO has discussed the courier affair with his wife and, his memory being refreshed, recalled that CARCARANA 2 had once acted as a courier. In other words CARBO knew in other ways, of course, that CARCARANA 2 had concurred in her husband's activities. She had once traveled to West Berlin with her

husband to attend a meal and meeting with the KUBARK case officer named "Pete" (the same one who used to handle CARBO as a VOPO penetration, apparently).

3. CARBO reported that SIMONI has not yet started action to get "alienation of affections" added to the allegations yet, is waiting for word from CARBO in this regard. The two have discussed the matter, however.

4. Pepin discussed with CARBO the possibility that CARBO might travel to Fran to make these points with CARGARANA 2's lawyer:

- a. Continuance of efforts to get CARBO's testimony will result in the defeat of the case so far as espionage grounds are concerned, since CARBO would have to testify that CARGARANA 2 ~~was~~ concurred and cooperated in CARGARANA 2's activities.
- b. The divorce should be secured upon 2 grounds of "alienation of affection" —CARGARANA 2 should allege this, and CARGARANA 2 can probably be gotten to confess to it.

The possibility was discussed in general terms that a line to CARGARANA 2 or her lawyers might be established in some other way, but it was agreed that CARBO could probably do the job better since he can speak more ~~fluently~~ frankly about point ~~la~~ above, and can work along lines of point ~~lb~~ above without worrying ~~himself~~ about charges of collusion (which SIMONI would have to be careful about, for instance).

5. CARBO reported that there had been no new arrests reported.

6. CARBO reported that a former [ ] group leader who had visited him a number of times had been offered a secretarial job with the VOPO and had asked CARBO whether she should take the job. She would be willing to act in KUFIRE capacity, is a determined opponent of the regime, but would like a little pay too (which CARBOIT cannot give her). She states that all the other members of her [ ] group (approx 6-7 persons) have fled West. CARBO stated she has a cool head (used to fire rockets into Russian barracks areas), is balanced, reliable and discreet. She wants to remain in East Berlin for family and furniture reasons. She knew that ~~the~~ the Americans were behind [ ] and had to do a little haggling during termination of the project to get some pay or reimbursement which she stated was due her and the members of her group. ~~Simoni~~ [ ] stated he would inform CARBO by 2/3 if there was interest or not. Her discussion with CARBO.

7. CARBO delivered a copy of the "Volkspolizist" as previously requested. This issue contains articles on methods of distribution used by Western FP orgs and is an excellent indication of effectiveness. It also gives an idea of what the VOPO ~~thinks~~ thinks of the ~~method~~ comparative effectiveness of various distribution ops.

8. Nothing further has been heard from Vogel.

9. Next meetings: 3 March 55, same place, 1900.